



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,086	04/25/2005	Andreas Harz	2002DE106	4228
25255 7590 05/02/2007 CLARIANT CORPORATION INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 4000 MONROE ROAD CHARLOTTE, NC 28205			EXAMINER ABU ALI, SHUANGYI	
			ART UNIT 1755	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 05/02/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/510,086

Applicant(s)

HARZ ET AL.

Examiner

Shuangyi Abu-Ali

Art Unit

1755

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 April 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>06/10/2005</u> | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

(1)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1, 3, 5-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 00/76649 A1 to Lofgren et al., further in view of WO 97/19030 to Tilokavichai et al.

Regarding claims 1, 3 and 5, Lofgren et al. disclose a method of coating fertilizer particles with a coating composition comprising 75% of oil and 25% of talc (table 2). But they are silent about the specific oil used in the composition as applicant set forth in claim 1.

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to use vegetable oil in place of the mineral oil of Lofgren et al. as applicant set forth in claim 1, motivated by the fact that Tilokavichai et al., also drawn to coating fertilizer particles, disclose that although mineral oil is usable, vegetable oil is preferred from an environmental point of view (page 2, line 22)

Regarding claim 6, Lofgren et al. disclose a process of coating fertilizer particles, which comprise of nitrogen, phosphate and potassium (page 5, line 15).

Regarding claim 7, Lofgren et al. disclose a process of coating fertilizer particles comprising grinding additive added into the composition (page 4, line 30).

Regarding claim 8, Lofgren et al. disclose a process of coating fertilizer particles comprising that talc particles are dispersed in oil (page 5, line 29).

Regarding claim 9, Tilokavichai et al. disclose a process of coating fertilizer particles comprising fertilizer particles comprising of 0.1-1.5 wt% of pigment composition (abstract).

Regarding claim 10, Lofgren et al. disclose a process of coating fertilizer particles comprising of the step that the coating composition is applied to the fertilizer particles through spraying (abstract).

Regarding claim 11, Lofgren et al. disclose a process of coating fertilizer particles comprising of the step of diluting the composition with an organic liquid (abstract).

Regarding claims 12, Tilokavichai et al. disclose a method of making colored fertilizer particles (abstract).

Regarding claim 13, Tilokavichai et al. disclose a method of making colored fertilizer particles (abstract).

(3)

Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over combined teaching of WO 00/76649 A1 to Lofgren et al. and WO 97/19030 to Tilokavichai et al., further in view of US 6,486,248 B2 to Ashley et al.

Regarding claim 2, Combined teaching of WO 00/76649 A1 to Lofgren et al. and WO 97/19030 to Tilokavichai et al. disclose a method of coating fertilizers by a coating composition comprising 75% of oil and 25% of talc. But they are silent about using organic pigments as applicant set forth in claim 2.

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use an organic colorant to color fertilizer, motivated by the fact that Ashley et al., also drawn to fertilizer coating, disclose organic colorants used to color fertilizer granular to reduce colorant from bleeding and migration (abstract).

Art Unit: 1755

Regarding claim 4, Ash et al. disclose that azo colorants are used to color fertilizer (col. 2, line 21).

(4)

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Such prior art is listed on PTO-892 B and C. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shuangyi Abu-Ali whose telephone number is 571-272-6453. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571-272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1755

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SA


J. A. CURENGO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER